Polk State College Prior Learning Assessment EVALUATOR RESPONSE/RATING RUBRIC

Student Name: Assessor:		
	Credits	
 1. Item Inventory: all components m Cover page Table of contents Request to Pursue Prior Learning A Course syllabus with learning outcom Abstract Copy of paid receipt for PLA (non-reference) Autobiography, including chronologi Resume Goal statements/degree audit, highl Significant Learning Outline/Course Narrative of knowledge acquired Bibliography Substantiating documentation Appendix (as needed) Evaluator forms (provided by the point 	mes delineated (from BCI) efundable) ical record lighting requirement to be achieved Objective Matrix	

2. Summary of Evaluation (see Rubric for details)

The student documented competencies in at least 70% of the course content per the course learning objectives. _____Yes ____No If no, please elaborate: _____

The learner, using a mixture of theory and practice as well as appropriate terminology, indicated a competent understanding of the course material and demonstrated how the learning was acquired. ____Yes ____No If no, please elaborate: _____

Comments from Portfolio Evaluator: _____

RATING RUBRIC

Criteria for Satisfactory grade: Candidates must score the minimum of 70 overall points and a minimum score of 7 in any category. To do so, content for each course objective must meet criteria.

Points Key:	Total:
0 = item/content not evidenced or missing.	Total points possible: 100
1 = item/content insufficiently evidenced	Minimum to pass: 70
3 = item/content minimally evidenced	
5 = item/content inconsistently evidenced	Criteria must be met for each course objective.
7 = item/content satisfactorily evidenced	Scores are reflective of overall content with break-
10 = item/content fully evidenced	down provided by objective.

ITEM	Target	~	Item Score	
SOURCES OF LEAR	SOURCES OF LEARNING (EXPERIENCES)			
1. Validity: Documentation and description of experiences as a valid basis for PLA.	Portfolio content succinctly narrates and describes the significance of the candidate's relevant learning experiences and supplies relevant documentation as evidence in order to establish the candidate as qualified to write on the subject. Completed in PLA course			
	NING (COMPETENCIES)			
2. Evidence (overall)	Candidate provides evidence of learning from experience.			
3. Accuracy: Evidence properly formatted and aligned with specific learning outcomes	Candidate provides adequate and appropriate evidence of each learning outcome for the targeted course per the course syllabus/BCI. Student has constructed an electronic portfolio accurately formatted, and with complete information for each section and learning objective.			
4. Reliability: Evidence aligned with personal experience	From the candidate's description of personal examples, it is clear that the learning arose from the candidate's direct experience and/or experience represents the candidate's understanding of the topic.			
5. Matrix: Evidence aligned with academic	Appropriate amount and use of academic theory is integrated within the submission, so that the candidate's learning is grounded in the academic frameworks of the topic.			

theory / learning objectives		
QUALITY OF PRESE	INTATION OF SUBMISSION	
6. Breadth / Depth of Submission	There is an appropriate depth and breadth of discussion related to requested credits (upper vs. lower division, and amount).	
7. Narratives	 Narratives clearly introduce the prior learning experience and its relevance to the targeted course. Narratives effectively summarize the main points and critical details, and state outcomes achieved through the experience. 	
8. Source Material	In-text and end-of-text citations of all sourced materials are correct, complete, and verifiable.	
9. Documentation	Documentation provided is effective evidence of experience; documentation is effectively referred to within submission, and its significance and relevance is clear.	
10.Sentence Structure, Mechanics, and Overall Presentation	 PLA submission is well-organized, uses appropriate format aligned with objectives and outcomes, and progresses in logical, convincing order. Each sentence is structured effectively. Virtually free of punctuation, spelling, capitalization errors; appropriate format and presentation for assignment. Effective use of vocabulary, and correct and effective grammatical form(s). PLA submission is professionally presented, complete, and clear. 	
TOTAL POINTS		1

Portfolio must reflect that each learning objective was addressed and that content met criteria as reflected via the rating components above.

Course Objective (abbreviated)	Comments relative to ratings above	Obj. Incl. ✔	Obj. Met/ Not
BREAK-DOWN OF EVALUATION OF COURSE LEARNING OBJECTIVES			
1.			
2.			
3.			

4.				
5. (add as needed)				
DETERMINATION OF	PLA:		1	
Recommendation:				
Portfolio Approve	ed. Credits Awarded for course reque	ested.		
Minor Revisions	Necessary. Resubmit deadline			
(Once the submission dead	dline is past, the student may no longer be	e granted credits	s for the	portfolio.)
If revisions necessary,	please explain:			
Extensive Revisi	ons Necessary. No credit awarded.			
If credits are denied, ple	•			
Portfolio Evaluator				
Evaluator Name (please	e print)			
Evaluator Signature Date				
Supervising Academic I	Dean (please print)			
Dean Signature		Dat	e	
De mietre de Office				
Registrar's Office:				
Registrar Approval		Da	te	
Credits entered into rec	ord			
				_
Staff Signature		Da	te	

PLA Assessor Rubric_Rev2: 7-1-14/LC